

VALE

Meeting with CEO: Becoming a chameleon

LatAm Metals & Mining

Main takeaways:

(i) There is a prioritization of medium grade fines (62-63% Fe), reducing high silica (-73% y/y); (ii) C1/t ex. third parties reached US\$21/t in 1Q25, with prospects of reaching the 25E guidance bottom (US\$20.5/t). For every +R\$0.10 in FX, C1/t decreases by -US\$0.25; (iii) The "others" line (60-62% Fe) represented 8.3Mt in 1Q25 (+45.5% y/y), anticipating the new product consolidation; (iv) Pellet production should be in the guidance lower range of 38-42Mt; (v) Third-party purchase volume may rise to 28-29Mt with the off-take from the Minas-Rio JV; (vi) CAPEX 25E at US\$5.9bn, with the possibility of further revision. There will be flexibility if the price of iron ore goes below US\$90/t; (vii) VBM: The company recognizes structural challenges and is considering **selling nickel assets**, mainly in Canada; (viii) In copper, production capacity could double, rising to 700ktpy by 2030, with emphasis on the Hu'u project (Indonesia), where partnerships are being studied to mitigate execution risks; (ix) Proceedings in the UK courts (BHP/Mariana) may continue until December 2025, and a tax dispute in the Brazilian Supreme Court-STF (~R\$22bn) has already been provisioned; (x) Although the Brazilian government favors Vale in the negotiation of **Bamin's assets** (~R\$30bn), the company is conditioning progress on **economic viability** (low NPV), citing high infrastructure CAPEX (mainly on the Fiol railway); (xi) Management sees a gradual entry of Simandou volume and a greater focus on replacing domestic Chinese supply rather than as net excess; (xii) Trading at 3.6x EV/EBITDA 25E (vs. historical average of 5.0x), with FCF Yield 25E of ~14% and Dividend Yield 25E of ~9% in 2025E, which could reach ~10% in 26E; (xv) Even in a scenario of i.o. prices fluctuating between US\$95-100/t and a possible drop to US\$80/t in 2027E, the company combines discounted valuation, strategic adaptation, increased transparency. We reinforce our BUY rating, with a 12M Target Price of R\$61.50 for VALE3 and US\$10.50 for ADRs-NYE, with an upside of +15.2%.

Meeting with CEO

Vale called a meeting with sell-side analysts yesterday, May 28. The roundtable was attended by Mr. Gustavo Pimenta (CEO) and Mr. Marcelo Bacci (CFO). A few days earlier, we organized an event between the company and a group of investors. In this report, we will comment on the insights we gained from both conversations that we have participated, pointing out our impressions on the reflections brought up by management and the investors. We will divide our comments into the following topics: (i) Iron Ore Solutions and (ii) VBM. In these sections, the focus will be more on the company's operation side. In addition to these points, we will discuss (iii) CAPEX; (iv) Legal Proceedings, Licensing, and Vale's relationship with government bodies (Bamin subject included). We believe this is an extensive and detailed report, given that it was formed by two separate meetings.

Analysts

Igor Guedes

+55 (11) 3206-8286 igor.guedes@genial.com.vc

Luca Vello

+55 (11) 3206-1457 luca.vello@genial.com.vc

lago Souza

+55 (11) 3206-8244 iago.souza@genial.com.vc

Company

VALE US Equity

Buy

Price: US\$ 9.37 (28-May-2025) **Target Price 12M**: US\$ 10.50 (NYSE)

VALE3 BZ Equity

Target Price 12M: R\$ 61.50 (B3)



Iron Ore Solutions

A y/y reduction is expected in C1/t. Vale reinforced that the productivity program it has been implementing has generated positive results, especially in reducing production costs. In 1Q25, it was indicated that the production cost (CPP) was ~U\$\$23/t. Our expectation is that in 2Q25E, the cost will remain sequentially flat, but with a downward trend y/y. Compared to its peers, the company emphasized its competitive position at the meeting, citing that Rio Tinto and Fortescue recorded much higher costs. Throughout 2025, Vale believes it will be able to deliver costs closer to the lower end of its C1/t ex-third-party guidance range (U\$\$20.5/t), especially if the FX remains stable. However, we believe that 2H25 may bring uncertainties due to FX volatility. It is important to note that for every increase of +R\$0.10 in the USD/BRL FX, C1/t falls by -US\$0.25/t. The guidance indicated assumes an average FX of R\$5.50.

Although the C1/t cooling is partly explained by the appreciation of the FX (which accelerated to R\$6.19 at the end of 4Q24 and has already regressed to R\$5.68 on the spot market), operational improvements also contributed to offset pressures such as inflation and climate impacts, such as heavy rainfall in Carajás (PA). We therefore conclude that **organic efficiency gains only offset cost inflation**, while the FX and the dilution of fixed costs were responsible for bringing down C1/t ex. third parties (-10.4% y/y in 1Q25 and -9.5% y/y in 4Q24).

The initiatives the company has taken to lower costs are: (i) expansion of caves to allow operation with two trucks, increasing the efficiency of the excavator; (ii) reduction in shift change time, bringing the change point closer to the mining front; and (iii) organizational simplification, with the elimination of Projects department, resulting in a reduction in headcount. Although we believe that these actions will not generate massive gains in isolation, together they already having a significant impact.

C1/t fell in 1Q25, but what was the influence of destocking? It can be said that the production cost (CPP) for 4Q24 was very low (~US\$18/t), benefiting from the COGS for 1Q25 due to the consumption of previously formed inventories. As we highlighted in our 1Q25 review report, the company surprised us with higher-than-expected iron ore fines shipments (56.8Mt or +7.5% vs. Genial Est. at the time), given a greater level of destocking pace. At our meeting with investors, the company suggested that the composition of COGS in 1Q25 could be divided into ~30% reflecting previously built inventories and ~70% representing current costs. The company consumed 1.6Mt of inventory, which was transferred directly to shipments.

Although oil and diesel make up part of C1, their impact is relatively limited: diesel represents ~13% of C1. For every -US\$10/t drop in Brent, freight decreases by -US\$0.90/t and C1 by -US\$0.20/t. However, the pass-through of these declines in costs has been slow until now. In addition, the railway system (MRS) embeds part of the cost of diesel oil in transportation tariffs, further diluting its direct impact on C1.

New medium grade product line. We estimate that the **first 20 days of April** already showed up **+1.1Mt y/y**, signaling a progressive increase in production in 2Q25E vs. 1Q25.



The company reinforced that the natural gap between production and sales should increase this year, because of the strategy to upsurge concentration and prioritize the medium grade of iron ore fines (63% Fe). We believe that the strategy seeks to optimize the use of high silica materials, which previously went to waste but can now be transformed into commercial products, especially with the planned launch of a new medium grade product from Carajás (PA), with a content of ~63% Fe.

This adjustment will simplify operations in **Serra Norte** and free up mass, in addition to potentially reducing losses in the process. On the other hand, losses are expected to increase due to the blending process (we will comment more on this further down). The initiative for a **medium grade** of iron ore fines (without blending) is part of the pursuit of **circular mining**. The company told us that it is already running up, but without a specific label for it. So, it currently appears on "other" iron ore fines 60-62% Fe line, which stood at 8.3Mt (+45.5% y/y) in 1Q25. The plan is to structure and launch this standard product soon.

More blends, less high silica. Continuing to explore changes in the product portfolio, we see that the company is prioritizing blends, mainly BRBF with 63% Fe (southeast low-grade system + high grade Carajás) and reducing the direct sales of high silica products (which declined -73% y/y in 1Q25). The mass loss volume this year— which involves the blending process— could be 2x as much vs. 2024, reaching ~6Mt. Thus, we estimate that the gap between production and sales may approach 20% (vs. 15% previously) in the full year 25E outlook, with 5-6% coming from mass loss. However, there is still uncertainty regarding the expected magnitude for 2026, given that the total portfolio adjustment may take more than 12M to complete.

The company emphasized the success of its circular mining initiatives, with the reuse of waste piles and lower Fe-grade materials. In 2024, ~12Mt were reused, with the potential to **reach up to 30Mt by the end of 2030**. These operations are already part of the production plan – therefore already included in the guidance – allowing reserves to be preserved and operational efficiency to be improved. We see management constantly emphasizing the importance of **commercial flexibility** and adjusting product supply to evolving market conditions.

This is very important to us, as Vale seems to be adopting a more honest approach with analysts, in line with market reality, where demand for high-quality products is not quite strong nowadays. Reducing high silica and thereby improving the mix is undoubtedly a positive sign. On the other hand, the improvement in the mix will only progress to a certain extent. This is because it seems to us that the company does not intend to incorporate much more IOCJ volume (Carajás fines – 65% Fe), since there is no large-scale absorption of this product today. Therefore, focusing on medium grade, either through blends or Carajás fines with the new 63% Fe product, is the right path in the current demand environment.

Why bet on medium grade? The decision to prioritize medium grade was supported by multiple factors: (i) compressed margins for high-end products, especially due to the low price of metallurgical coal (currently US\$101/t, down - 19.2% YTD). In our view, coal prices at this level reduce appetite for premium iron ore.



The **premium paid** for iron ore fines from **Carajás** (**IOCJ**) is on a downward trajectory to **US\$12-15/t**. We believe that a **feasible reference point** would be in the range of **US\$15-16/t**. With lower premiums being paid, adding more IOCJ to shipments does not seem to be the solution. In addition, (ii) there is a need to maintain the competitiveness of Vale's high silica, which is an important input for steel mills to blend with high alumina Australian ores in blast furnaces; and (iii) preparation for the Simandou start-up, which will bring more high-grade supply to the seaborne market.

In addition, Mr. Pimenta highlighted the trend toward expanding **blending in China**, taking advantage of capacity exceeding **150Mt** in Chinese ports, with **~80% of capacity** currently being utilized. Blending in China **adds +US\$1/t to costs** but makes it possible to capture quality premiums and optimize margins, as the company can offer the mix desired by clients with greater precision. We believe that this strategy allows for flexibility, taking advantage of **regional premiums in different ports** and reducing the need for blending in Brazil. The trend in the industry is to intensify **blending at destination rather than at origin**, maximizing logistical and commercial efficiency. Therefore, we see this movement being adopted by other players in the sector, such as BHP and Rio Tinto.

Minas-Rio: Third-party purchases may increase. We also note that the third-party purchase strategy has undergone adjustments. The guidance for 2025 is around 25-26Mt, which could reach 28-29Mt with the entry of the off-take from the Minas-Rio operation (JV with Anglo American, with a 15% stake held by Vale). This purchase represents 4Mtpy, with ~15% of the volume, being a medium/high quality product. The decision should be opportunistic and dependent mainly on (i) the i.o. price level, (ii) spot freight, (iii) silica content, and (iv) premiums/discounts. We emphasize that despite the common perception that third-party purchases are of lower quality, the company reinforced in our meeting with investors that its third-party purchase portfolio has ~60% Fe and that the Minas-Rio asset will contribute marginally to raising this level. Furthermore, despite the higher cost of this higher quality volume from Minas-Rio (uplifted C1/t), the impact is marginal on the consolidated basis, as the purchase represents only 10% of the total volume.

It was clarified that the JV holds a **15% stake**; Anglo American finances all expansion CAPEX, and the **partners receive dividends** in proportion to their stakes. If CAPEX occurs, the 15% dividend will be reduced, but we expect the increase in production to raise total dividends y/y, when there was no distribution. The contract includes an **off-take right** equivalent to the equity interest, allowing the purchase of iron ore to supply third parties purchases. Therefore, the acquisition of third parties in the JV becomes part of this off-take, strengthening access to **additional volumes** without changing the equity interest.

Pellets at the guidance bottom and briquettes are seen more as R&D. Rainfall in the North and unscheduled maintenance at the Cauê plant (MG-Itabira) impacted feed creation and, consequently, pellet production in Tubarão (ES). The pellet production guidance for 2025E remains between 38-42Mt, but management suggested analysts to model at 38Mt – the lower end of the guidance range.



As for briquettes, development has been more challenging than initially expected by the company, mainly due to the **retrofit of existing pelletizing plants**, which caused operational difficulties. The Tubarão 1 and 2 plants are nearing completion and have already begun the first commercial tests with clients for blast furnace usage and direct reduction procedure.

It is important to mention that the **lower-than-expected briquette production does not affect the guidance**, as the idle pelletizing capacity is sufficient to absorb any deviations. However, the company argues that technology is essential to achieve the target of **70Mt of agglomerates by 2030**. Even so, in our conversation with investors, some questioned why Vale had made such a big "advertisement" about the product, given that there is a difficulty in operationalizing it and Chinese mills seem to be increasingly postponing the use of premium mix. In response, the company says it recognizes that initial over-optimism led to expectations that were **out of touch with the real complexity**, which is now seen as **large-scale R&D**.

Capacity cuts in China may be marginal. The crude steel production cut agenda in China, anticipated by the central government, is projected by Vale at -30Mt in steel capacity (-3% vs. 2024 production). Our estimate is more bearish. We believe that an impact would generate a reduction of -45Mt in iron ore demand in the seaborne system over the next 12M. For management, there is a clear trend toward mills consolidation in China, concentrating production in more efficient players, with Baowu Steel leading the process. Despite uncertainties related to tariff policy, we note that mill utilization rates remain high (currently above 90%, +2.8p.p. y/y), and there have been supply constraints in Australia (impacted by cyclones).

In addition, Mr. Pimenta noted that there are signs that **China may again cut obsolete capacity**, as it did in past cycles (2016-2018), which would sustain a **higher utilization rate and support prices**. Vale does not expect large mandatory cuts in steel production but sees solid demand in sectors such as manufacturing (+9% y/y) and infrastructure (+5.5% y/y). The real estate segment remains depressing, but the stabilization presented during the meeting is only from 2027 onwards (in line with our estimate).

Simandou: Management tends to downplay influence on price declines. The Simandou project is expected to start up in 2027, according to Vale (Rio Tinto mentions 2026). Management believes that the market will adapt, as it did with the entry of S11D. At the time, many analysts had signaled that there would be more supply than demand, but there was adequate absorption. In the company's view, part of the market tends to overlook the difficulties that players may face in ramping-up those types of assets. In addition, Simandou iron ore would tend to replace part of the volume produced domestically in China (average grade of 62% Fe for 260Mtpy), which is expensive to produce – up to US\$120/t – and faces environmental restrictions. Therefore, the depletion of Chinese mining should continue to favor the entry of new capacities.

On this point, Mr. Pimenta seems to have **downplayed Simandou threat to iron ore pricing**. As an argument, the company says that although Simandou's entry could add +50-60Mtpy after ramp-up, new projects face growing regulatory and environmental difficulties.



Vale believes that the impact will be more of a replacement than a net addition to supply. Chinese demand should gradually decline, but other regions, such as South Asia, will offset part of this reduction. From the pushbacks that we have had with investors, **management's expectations sound very bullish** (and it should not be regarding this matter). Many **fund managers** with whom we are in contact with are pricing iron ore at ~US\$80/t already in 2027E (vs. ~US\$95/t currently), and a significant portion of this decline is correlated with the entry of Simadou. On the other hand, in Mr. Pimenta's view, Simandou iron ore **is unlikely to be sold purely**, but will undergo blending and becoming a more mid-grade product, with **limited impact on the high-quality market structure**. On this specific point, we tend to agree with the company's way of thinking.

VBM - Base Metals

Nickel: Selling assets may be a way forward. Nickel was classified by management as a **structural challenge**, mainly due to abundant supply in Indonesia. The company is considering maintaining the optionality of the business but is **willing to reduce or exit assets** that do not show significant return potential. The focus is on reducing costs and making the operation sustainable. Alternatives such as partnerships or divestments are being considered, as may be the case with the Thompson (MB) asset.

Our perception is that the company has shown caution stance regarding the nickel market, recognizing the massive government support in countries such as Indonesia and the competitive challenges this creates. While spotting the long-term potential, management is more comfortable focusing on commodities where Vale has a clear competitive advantage, avoiding risky moves. Mr. Pimenta was transparent in acknowledging the encounters with nickel assets in Canada, classified as "high cost." Therefore, we believe there is a strong likelihood of (i) optimization through asset sales, or (ii) combination with other local operators to reduce costs and improve efficiency. However, no concrete decision has yet been made.

Copper: Partnerships are being considered to operate in Hu'u. The plan is to achieve **2x copper production** capacity, uplifted to **700ktpy** (vs. 350ktpy nowadays), and that was one of the main points discussed when the subject of VBM came up. Mr. Pimenta acknowledged that investors have not given the company enough credit in this segment due to its history of underperforming. The company is now focused on new projects with a timeline through 2030, counting on significant improvements in its technical team and asset quality. The priority is to accomplish a robust and economically attractive copper portfolio.

The progress of copper projects was reviewed, with emphasis on the **Hu'u asset** in Indonesia, considered one of the most significant discoveries in the sector in recent years, with a capacity of **~350ktpy**. Due to logistical and engineering challenges, the company is exploring **potential partnerships to share risks** and accelerate development. In Brazil, partnerships are seen as less likely, given the greater familiarity and control over the operating environment.



Our Take on Vale

CAPEX

Another revision may be ahead. In 1Q25 CAPEX was subdued, reaching US\$1.2bn (-16% y/y). However, we expect an **acceleration in 2H25**, following the historical trend, barring extraordinary events. In addition, there is **an internal review of capital costs for the nickel assets**, with estimated CAPEX of US\$90–100mn. The **25E guidance**, which was US\$6.5bn, had downshifted to **US\$5.9bn** (in February). Even if he has already suffered a cut, **it may still undergo a new update stemming**, for example, from the Thompson (MB) asset review process, which should be completed in 1H25, with possible announcements in 2H25.

The company has indicated that it will maintain this level of CAPEX (~US\$6bn) if regulatory conditions (licensing) do not evolve, especially for the development of new copper projects. The acceleration of investments is conditional on obtaining environmental licenses. The potential for discontinuation is considered, since the asset requires investments of around US\$90mn to become viable and is not aligned with the company's strategic focus on copper. The decision will be based on the asset's ability to generate sustainable FCF. Furthermore, at the meeting we had with investors, the company mentioned that in the sensitivity analysis, a +R\$0.10 appreciation in the USD/BRL FX rate reduces CAPEX by -US\$60mn. In addition, Mr. Bacci indicated that ~US\$4bn would be allocated to maintenance, while US\$2bn is related to growth and, therefore, may be adjusted according to the price scenario. In the event of persistently low prices (~US\$80/t for iron ore), the company may postpone or slow down projects, preserving efficiency and discipline in capital allocation.

Legal proceedings, licensing, and relationship with government

Proceedings in the UK courts and taxation of foreign subsidiaries. A decision on **BHP**'s liability in the UK proceedings is expected in **June-July 2025**, with a possible extension until December. If liability is recognized, a second phase will begin to quantify the amounts due. The Brumadinho agreement is in its final stages, awaiting only **approval by the TCU** – Brazilian Federal Court of Accounts. **R\$4bn** (~US\$700mn) has been advanced, while the company is claiming, outside the agreement, an additional **+R\$6bn** (~US\$1.1bn), which will be negotiated based on **counterparties**.

In addition, the company is monitoring a **tax dispute** in the Supreme Court (STF) related to the collection of **income tax on a foreign subsidiary** in countries that have agreements of no double taxation with Brazil. The trial date will be **between June 6 and 13**. The impact of the case on Vale is **~R\$22bn**, but at this stage **there is only upside**. Mr. Pimenta explained that the **amount has already been provisioned** and that, if the ruling is favorable, it will bring financial benefits in **amount reversal through P&L**. The issue affects other Brazilian companies and is considered systemic. The current score is **2-1 against Vale**. This indicates that, although the company had already won in the Superior Court of Justice (STJ), the Brazilian government appealed to the Supreme Court (STF) and may reverse the decision.



The company's proximity with government bodies. Mr. Pimenta commented that the flexibility in the law for the exploration of mineral resources in natural underground cavities in Carajás (PA) is under government control and may take time to be reassessed, depending on regulatory instructions and processes from the Ministry of the Environment (MMA). The company's institutional proximity to the agencies responsible for reassessing the potential impacts of extraction in the cavities, including the participation of scientists and geologists, may help to facilitate progress. However, Vale does not see any significant changes in the short term. Perhaps something may happen in 2H25, but it is not certain.

The company is seeking solutions to ensure the continuity of operations regardless of regulatory changes, acting on three fronts: (i) evaluating plans without relying on exploration in cave areas; (ii) accelerating licensing through technical support and partnerships, mainly in S11D in Carajás (PA). On the other hand, many investors fear that the company's increased proximity to the government will generate negative externalities, beyond the positive ones such as unlocking production through licensing. One of the negative points speculated in the market is the acquisition of Bamin's operations, with assets in Bahia (BA), a state with electoral appeal for the Lula administration.

Many investors consider that acquiring Bamin is a veiled licenses cost. The Brazilian government has a strong interest in unlocking this deal, since Eurasian Resources Group (ERG), a Kazakh company and current operator, has done virtually nothing on the build-up of the railroad that would connect the mine to the port. There is a stream of news indicating that Vale is in talks with Brazilian authorities. The government's support would come from the BNDES, which would participate in financing the purchase—in a consortium with Vale and Cedro Mineração—and in releasing funds for the construction of section 1 of the railroad, known as Fiol. Without this progress, the bidding for section 2 remains stalled. Although Vale is not alone on the bid, with Brazil Iron having made an offer of US\$1bn (~R\$5.7bn), the government seems to treat this alternative as a secondary option, keeping Vale as the favorite.

When questioned on this point, management says it is maintaining a neutral stance, arguing that it will only move forward if the project demonstrates clear economic viability. During the conversation, we felt that the company sees that there would be a high need for CAPEX in infrastructure (mainly with Fiol) and that it would be unjustifiable from a NPV standpoint given the amount of iron ore that would be found there. The Bamin project includes the operation of the Pedra de Ferro mine in Caetité (BA), a section of the West-East Railway (Fiol) and a port terminal in Ilhéus (BA), called Porto Sul. Some news reports say that the amount being discussed for the acquisition is ~R\$30bn (~US\$5.3bn), well above the figure offered by Brazil Iron.



Becoming a chameleon

In response to the current scenario, where Chinese mills are not – broadly speaking – paying a premium for quality, the company has **pragmatically reconfigured its portfolio**: it has intensified production of **medium grade fines (62-63% Fe)**, reusing materials previously discarded with higher silica content, but extracted on a higher quality basis in the northern system (Carajás – PA), compared to the high silica volume coming from the southeastern system (MG). The new product line, already visible in the volumes classified as "others" (8.3Mt in 1Q25, +45.5% y/y), is likely to **gain prominence throughout 2025**. Operationally, **C1/t ex. third parties stood at US\$21/t in 1Q25**, with prospects of reaching the **lower end of 25E guidance** (US\$20.5/t) — bringing the company back to a competitive level with its peers (Rio Tinto, BHP, and Fortescue) in this regard.

The -10.4% y/y reduction in C1/t was made possible by (i) efficiency gains, (ii) lower cost destocking, and (iii) the positive impact of the USD/BRL FX rate (for every +R\$0.10 in the FX, C1/t declines by -US\$0.25). For now, we believe that C1/t should remain stable sequentially in 2Q25E, but show a reduction of -15% y/y. Even in scenario still marked by a bearish bias — with iron ore prices fluctuating between US\$95-100/t in 1H25 and a significant portion of investors with whom we are in contact pricing a drop to US\$80/t in 2027E — we continue to see attractive key valuation metrics. While we agree that the outlook is not positive, we believe that the shares have been penalized beyond what is fair. From a fundamental perspective, we deem that the company remains clearly discounted, trading at 3.6x EV/EBITDA 25E, well below its historical average of 5.0x.

In addition, we have maintained our **FCF yield 25E** projection of **~14%** (deleveraged) – which is **well above peers** (BHP at ~7% and Rio Tinto at ~6%) – and should support a **Dividend yield 25E** of **~9%** even in a less favorable price environment (US\$94/t average for 25E). Looking further ahead, we believe that the **dividend yield** could rise marginally to **~10% in 2026.** There would be room for an even more elastic increase, however, management signaled at yesterday's meeting **a preference for increasing the share buyback program** over dividend distribution.

The fact is that the **equity story has not shown significant progress** after overhangs eliminations (the Mariana agreement, the renegotiation of the EFVM and EFC concessions, and the appointment of Mr. Pimenta as CEO). Since November last year, when the Mariana (MG) agreement was signed, the **share price** has fallen by **-13%**. **Even so**, the case remains **anchored in attractive valuation**, operational discipline, and the ability to adapt to a new market cycle. We are confident in the company's portfolio repositioning and **increased transparency of its strategy** towards the market, something we made criticisms on the previous management mandate (regarding commercial strategy). In this context, we maintain our **BUY rating**, with a **12M Target Price** of **R\$61.50** for **VALE3-B3** and **US\$10.50** for **ADRs-NYSE**, implying an **upside** of **+15.2%**.



Appendix: Vale

Figure 1. Vale - Income Statement in US\$ Millions (Genial Est. 2025-2029)

Income Statement	2025E	2026E	2027E	2028E	2029E
Net Revenue	35.719	38.463	39.028	39.929	40.872
(-) COGS	(23.770)	(24.895)	(25.448)	(26.140)	(27.049)
Gross Profit	11.948	13.568	13.580	13.789	13.823
(-) Expenses	(2.084)	(1.725)	(2.034)	(1.694)	(1.262)
Adjusted EBITDA	14.094	15.806	15.919	16.252	16.401
(-) D&A	(2.888)	(3.016)	(3.146)	(3.287)	(3.427)
EBIT	11.206	12.790	12.773	12.964	12.974
(+/-) Financial Result	(1.177)	(1.125)	(1.063)	(1.060)	(988)
(-) Taxes	(2.827)	(3.894)	(3.905)	(4.170)	(4.404)
Net income	7.201	7.772	7.805	7.735	7.582
Profitability					
Net margin (%)	20,2%	20,2%	20,0%	19,4%	18,6%

Figure 2. Vale- Cash Flow in US\$ Millions (Genial Est. 2025-2029)

Cash Flow (FCFF)	2025E	2026E	2027E	2028E	2029E
Net Revenue	35.719	38.463	39.028	39.929	40.872
(-) COGS	(23.770)	(24.895)	(25.448)	(26.140)	(27.049)
Adjusted EBITDA	14.094	15.806	15.919	16.252	16.401
Adjusted EBIT	11.206	12.790	12.773	12.964	12.974
(-) Taxes	(2.827)	(3.894)	(3.905)	(4.170)	(4.404)
(+) D&A	2.888	3.016	3.146	3.287	3.427
(+/-) Brumadinho and Samarco	(1.393)	(998)	(666)	(835)	(202)
(+/-) ∆ WK	277	1.924	72	1.116	80
(-) Capex	(5.760)	(5.412)	(5.844)	(6.065)	(6.065)
FCFF	4.392	7.426	5.577	6.298	5.811



Disclosure Section

1. GENERAL DISCLAIMER

This report has been produced by the research department ("Genial Institutional Research") of Genial Institutional Corretora de Câmbio, Títulos e Valores Mobiliários S.A. ("GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM"). Genial Institutional is a brand name of Genial Investimentos CCTVM.

Genial Rating

	Definition		
Buy	Expected return above +10% in relation to the Company's sector average	49%	
Neutral	Expected return between +10% and -10% relative to the Company's industry average	41%	
Sell	Expected return below -10% in relation to the Company's sector average	5%	
under Review	Under review	5%	

This report may not be reproduced or redistributed to any other person, in whole or in part, for any purpose, without the prior written consent of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM. GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM accepts no liability whatsoever for the actions of third parties in this respect.

This research report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law. This research report has no regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any specific recipient, even if sent only to a single recipient. This research report is not guaranteed to be a complete statement or summary of any securities, markets, reports or developments referred to in this research report. Neither GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM nor any of its directors, officers, employees or agents shall have any liability, however arising, for any error, inaccuracy or incompleteness of fact or opinion in this research report or lack of care in this research report's preparation or publication, or any losses or damages which may arise from the use of this research report

GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM may rely on information barriers, such as "Chinese Walls" to control the flow of information within the areas, units, divisions, groups, or affiliates of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM.

Investing in any non-U.S. securities or related financial instruments (including ADRs) discussed in this research report may present certain risks. The securities of non-U.S. issuers may not be registered with, or be subject to the regulations of, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Information on such non-U.S. securities or related financial instruments may be limited. Foreign companies may not be subject to audit and reporting standards and regulatory requirements comparable to those in effect within the United States.

The value of any investment or income from any securities or related financial instruments discussed in this research report denominated in a currency other than U.S. dollars is subject to exchange rate fluctuations that may have a positive or adverse effect on the value of or income from such securities or related financial instruments.

Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM with respect to future performance. Income from investments may fluctuate. The price or value of the investments to which this research report relates, either directly or indirectly, may fall or rise against the interest of investors. Any recommendation or opinion contained in this research report may become outdated as a consequence of changes in the environment in which the issuer of the securities under analysis operates, in addition to changes in the estimates and forecasts, assumptions and valuation methodology used herein.

The locally listed shares of Brazilian companies may only be purchased by investors outside of Brazil who are "eligible investors" within the meaning of applicable laws and regulations.



2. ANALYST(S) DISCLOSURES AND CERTIFICATION

The principal analyst, IGOR GUEDES, is responsible for the content of this report and for meeting the requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission of Brazil (CVM) Instruction 598/2018.

The analysts hereby certify that the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect their personal views about the subject securities or issuers and it was prepared in an independent manner, including with respect to the person and to GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL.

The analyst hereby certifies that he (she) has no connection with any individual who works for the issuer(s) discussed in this report.

The analyst hereby certifies that he (she), or his (her) spouse or companion, either directly or indirectly, in his or her own name or on behalf of a third party, does not hold any of the securities covered in this report.

The analyst hereby certifies that he (she), or his (her) spouse or companion, is not directly or indirectly involved in the purchase, disposal or brokering of the securities covered in this report.

The analyst hereby certifies that he (she), or the his (her) spouse or companion, has no direct or indirect financial interest in the issuer covered in this report (other than trading shares in investment funds, in which the analyst cannot control, directly or indirectly, the administration or management of the fund, or which do not concentrate investments in sectors or companies that are covered by reports produced by the analyst).

The analyst's compensation is, directly or indirectly, determined by income from GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL's business and financial operations.

In addition, the analysts certify that no part of their compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this research report.

The compensation of the analyst who prepared this report is determined by research management and senior management (not including investment banking). Analyst compensation is not based on investment banking revenues, however, compensation may relate to the revenues of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM, its affiliates and/or subsidiaries as a whole, of which investment banking, sales and trading are a part. Compensation paid to analysts is the sole responsibility of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM.

The analyst hereby certifies that he (she), or his (her) spouse or companion, does not serve as an officer, director, or advisory board member of the subject company.

The principal analyst is responsible for the content of this report and for meeting the requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission of Brazil (CVM) Instruction 598/2018.

Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are research analysts.



3. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE

- (i) This document was prepared by GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL Research and is hereby supplied for the sole purpose of providing information about companies and their securities.
- (ii) The information contained herein is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer to buy or sell, and should not be construed as a solicitation to acquire, any securities in any jurisdiction. The opinions expressed herein regarding the purchase, sale or holding of securities, or with respect to the weighting of such securities in a real or hypothetical portfolio, are based on careful analysis by the analysts who prepared this report and should not be construed by current or future investors as recommendations for any particular investment decision or action. The investor's final decision should be made considering all of the risks and fees involved. This report is based on information obtained from primary or secondary public sources, or directly from companies, and is combined with estimates and calculations prepared by GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM. This report does not purport to be a complete statement of all material facts related to any company, industry, security or market strategy mentioned. The information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM does not make any express or implied representation or warranty as to the completeness, reliability or accuracy of such information. The information, opinions, estimates and projections contained in this document are based on current data and are subject to change. Prices and availability of financial instruments are indicative only and subject to change without notice. GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM is under no obligation to update or revise this document or to advise of any changes in such data.
- (iii) The securities discussed in this report, as well as the opinions and recommendations contained herein, may not be appropriate for every type of investor. This report does not take into account the investments objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular investor. Investors who wish to buy, sell or invest in securities that are covered in this report should seek independent financial advice that takes individual characteristics and needs into consideration, before making any investment decision with respect to the securities in question. Each investor should make independent investment decisions after carefully analyzing the risks, fees and commissions involved. If a financial instrument is denominated in a currency other than an investor's currency, changes in exchange rates may adversely affect the price or value of, or the income derived from the financial instrument, and the reader of this report assumes all foreign exchange risks. Income from financial instruments may vary, and therefore their price or value may rise or fall, either directly or indirectly. The information, opinions and recommendations contained in this report do not constitute and should not be interpreted as a promise or guarantee of a particular return on any investment. Past performance does not necessarily indicate future results, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made herein regarding future performance. Therefore, GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM, its affiliated companies, and the analysts involved in this report take no responsibility for any direct, indirect or consequential loss resulting from the use of the information contained in this report, and anyone using this report undertakes to irrevocably indemnify GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM and its affiliates from any claims and demands.
- (iv) Prices in this report are believed to be reliable as of the date on which this report was issued and are derived from one or more of the following: (i) sources as expressly specified alongside the relevant data; (ii) the quoted price on the main regulated market for the security in question; (iii) other public sources believed to be reliable; or (iv) GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM's proprietary data or data available to GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM.



- (v) No representation or warranty, either express or implied, is provided in relation to the accuracy, completeness or reliability of the information contained herein, except with respect to information concerning GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM, its subsidiaries and affiliates. In all cases, investors should conduct their own investigation and analysis of such information before taking or omitting to take any action in relation to securities or markets that are analyzed in this report.
- (vi)GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM makes no representations herein that investors will obtain profits. GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM will not share with investors any investment profits nor accept any liability for any investment losses. Investments involve risks and investors should exercise prudence in making their investment decisions. GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM accepts no fiduciary duties on behalf of recipients of this report and in communicating this report is not acting in a fiduciary capacity. This report is not to be relied upon in substitution for the exercise of recipient's independent judgment. Opinions, estimates, and projections expressed herein constitute the current judgment of the analyst responsible for the substance of this report as of the date on which the report was issued and are therefore subject to change without notice and may differ or be contrary to opinions expressed by other business areas or groups of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM as a result of using different assumptions and criteria. The information, opinions and recommendations contained in this report do not constitute and should not be interpreted as a promise or guarantee of a particular return on any investment.
- (vii)Because the personal views of analysts may differ from one another, GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM, its subsidiaries and affiliates may have issued or may issue reports that are inconsistent with, and/or reach different conclusions from, the information presented herein. Any such opinions, estimates, and projections must not be construed as a representation that the matters referred to therein will occur. Prices and availability of financial instruments are indicative only and subject to change without notice. Income from financial instruments may vary, and therefore their price or value may rise or fall, either directly or indirectly.
- (viii) This document may not be: (a) photocopied or duplicated in any manner, in whole or in part, and/or (b) distributed without GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM's prior written consent. GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM accepts no liability whatsoever for the actions of third parties in this respect.
- (ix) Neither GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM nor any of its affiliates, nor any of their respective directors, employees or agents, accepts any liability for any loss or damage arising out of the use of all or any part of this report.
- (x) GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM (or its affiliates, officers, directors or employees) may, to the extent permitted by law, have acted upon or used the information herein contained before the publication of this report and may have a position in securities issued by the companies mentioned herein and may make a market or act as a principal in any transactions in any such securities. Genial Institutional may from time to time perform investment banking or other services to, or solicit investment banking or other business from, the companies mentioned herein.



4. IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PERSONS

This research report was prepared by Genial Institutional CCTVM, a company authorized to engage in securities activities in Brazil. Genial Institutional CCTVM is not a registered broker-dealer in the United States and, therefore, is not subject to U.S. rules regarding the preparation of research reports and the independence of research analysts. This research report is provided for distribution to "major U.S. institutional investors" in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Rule 15a-6 of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and is not being provided pursuant to a soft-dollar arrangement.

Any U.S. recipient of this research report wishing to effect any transaction to buy or sell securities or related financial instruments based on the information provided in this research report should do so only through Auerbach Grayson & Company LLC ("AGCO"), a registered broker dealer in the United States with an office at 20 West 55th Street New York, NY 10019, (212) 453-3523. Under no circumstances should any recipient of this research report effect any transaction to buy or sell securities or related financial instruments through Genial Institutional CCTVM.

If the report is to be distributed to anyone other than Major U.S. Institutional Investors in the United States. AGCO accepts responsibility for the contents of this report as provided for in relevant SEC releases and SEC staff no-action letters.

The analyst whose name appears in this research report is not registered or qualified as a research analyst with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and may not be an associated person at Auerbach Grayson & Company LLC ("AGCO") and, therefore, may not be subject to applicable restrictions under FINRA Rules on communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

The disclosures contained in research reports produced by GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM and distributed by Auerbach Grayson & Company LLC ("AGCO") in the U.S. shall be governed by and construed in accordance with U.S. law. This report may not be reproduced or redistributed to any other person, in whole or in part, for any purpose, without the prior written consent of GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM. Additional information relative to the financial instruments discussed in this report is available upon request.

UK Disclaimer:

- (i) This document is STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL to the recipient, may not be distributed to the press or other media and may not be reproduced in any form. this document is directed only at persons who are "INVESTMENT PROFESSIONALS" falling within article 19(5) of the FSMA 2000 (FINANCIAL PROMOTION) ORDER 2005, or HIGH NET WORTH BODIES falling within ARTICLE 49(2) of that order (together THE "RELEVANT PERSONS"). This document must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not RELEVANT PERSONS.
- (ii) The distribution of this document in other jurisdictions may be restricted by law and persons into whose possession this document comes should inform themselves about, and observe, any such restrictions. Any failure to comply with these restrictions may constitute a violation of the laws of any such other jurisdiction.

Copyright 2024 GENIAL INSTITUTIONAL CCTVM